

To: Kopocis, Ken[Kopocis.Ken@epa.gov]
Cc: Bromm, Susan[Bromm.Susan@epa.gov]; Rader, Cliff[Rader.Cliff@epa.gov]; Goforth, Kathleen[Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov]; Blumenfeld, Jared[BLUMENFELD.JARED@EPA.GOV]; Diamond, Jane[Diamond.Jane@epa.gov]; Vendlinski, Tim[vendlinski.tim@epa.gov]; Skophammer, Stephanie[SKOPHAMMER.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV]; Hanf, Lisa[Hanf.Lisa@epa.gov]; Hagler, Tom[Hagler.Tom@epa.gov]
From: Johnson, Kathleen
Sent: Fri 5/2/2014 11:58:53 PM
Subject: Bay Delta: Scientists' Critiques
[ISB and Ind Science Review Comments 3.28.14.docx](#)
[Exec Summ Indepen Science Board Review FINAL.PDF](#)
[FINAL-BDCP-REVIEW-for-TNC-and-AR-Sept-2013.pdf](#)
[Delta Independent Science Board Review Draft.pdf](#)
[outside BDCP comments.docx](#)

Ken,

As you requested during our BDCP call earlier this week, please find attached the recent independent scientists' critiques of the BDCP and DEIS that we mentioned during the call. The two Word documents are (1) a summary of those critiques, and (2) a summary of the comments submitted by state and federal agencies – including the lead federal agencies – on the Administrative Draft EIS that we reviewed last year. Many of the substantive issues raised in the ADEIS review remain in the DEIS. Please let me know if you have any questions or if we can provide you with other relevant information. Thanks.

Kathleen H. Johnson

Director, Enforcement Division

U.S. EPA - Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street ENF-1

San Francisco, CA 94015

415/972-3873

johnson.kathleen@epa.gov